Parse utam pot ofte

2/9/72

Ar. Mi Planor WDSU-FV 520 Royal St., New Orleans, Le.

Dear Ed.

as you know, when wer I learn saything about your Osmald footage and must hap eased to it, I let you know. In this case, I ask that you keep this in confidence for a number of reasons, including that it is part of an engoing, difficult and for from complete investigation I do plan to carry further. I as assuing a copy to Sal range on only, with the same request of confidentiality.

It has them as from late 1966 until today to get a photocopy of the araper the Secret Service put on their print of your recalining footage. I told you the ensence of what it says. Here was no legitimate rea on for withholding it from me. Regulations, to the conteary, require that it be provided, as does the law. Fot until the idea got accepted that if more any I would again report to the law (as I have, in court, three times, besides extensing administrative remodes as a prelume to more) was it provided, this time with the proteuse that it had been in the past. The fact in their in this case I think the Secret Service saw to it that it was provided. I forced them to deliver their print to the Archiven, where it now is unless there has been a from—by-freeze contention, in which event it was to have been returned to the Secret Service, the copy provided the Marren Commission by the FMI in that event satisfying all evert needs.

The logard, all caps, reads: Film OF While TV, Chandel 6, HEG AFFILIATE, HAS CHEARS, SHOWING CHANDES H. STEELS, JR., ARE UNLESFIETED SUBJECT PASSING OUT CIRCUMSES 8/15/63 in Label May College,

Now I have never seen anyons in the still-existing footage you have and of which you were loted earnigh to let me have a copy, the FMI's copy or that of the Secret Service, that shows a third sen "pessing out circulars". I have FEI reports agains this of stille, as you know, for I cent you copies. + have descriptions of this standard can, as you also know, your footage as of the time you let so copy it does not contain all that remained after outtakes, for you and Jesse Core both remainer seeing his in it. Jesse also remaders charing that character, which it had not be parameted to suspect may appear for Jesse's disappearance from the footage, in whatever stradillows way.

From time her passed, and at the time you had no reason to make special mental notes, or written case. But I think it would be a good thing if you would, when you have time to think, make a note of all the people who ever had access to that footage beginning 11/22/63, and letting me know who and approximately when. Today all copies are identical, yours, the PHI's and the Secret Service's. But the proof I have from both the PHI and the Secret Service is pretty solid that there was additing after you and Joses at least ran it after 11/22/63.

On a subject that may intersect Sal, in the still-existing fortage in a man she can be taken to be Clay Shew. I do not believe it is. Confidentially, "ohem kept a print, and I know who has it and thinks it does show Shew. Johann has presided to write me about the stills, but he never does. He made a total of 17. I know who is supposed to have them, but the time has not yet one for me to press for access to them. Johann says he kept no prints.

Best regards, Harold Welsberg